Tight coupling between nucleus and cell migration through the perinuclear actin cap
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ABSTRACT

Although eukaryotic cells are known to alternate between ‘advancing’ episodes of fast and persistent movement and ‘hesitation’ episodes of low speed and low persistence, the molecular mechanism that controls the dynamic changes in morphology, speed and persistence of eukaryotic migratory cells remains unclear. Here, we show that the movement of the interphase nucleus during random cell migration switches intermittently between two distinct modes – rotation and translocation – that follow with high fidelity the sequential rounded and elongated morphologies of the nucleus and cell body, respectively. Nuclear rotation and translocation mediate the stop-and-go motion of the cell through the dynamic formation and dissolution, respectively, of the contractile perinuclear actin cap, which is dynamically coupled to the nuclear lamina and the nuclear envelope through LINC complexes. A persistent cell movement and nuclear translocation driven by the actin cap are halted following the disruption of the actin cap, which in turn allows the cell to repolarize for its next persistent move owing to nuclear rotation mediated by cytoplasmic dynein light intermediate chain 2.
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INTRODUCTION

A motile E. coli alternates between fast persistent moves mediated by its flagella dominantly rotating counterclockwise and tumbling events mediated by the flagella transiently rotating clockwise (Berg, 1993). Similarly, a wide range of eukaryotic cells also alternate between ‘advancing’ episodes of fast and persistent movements and ‘hesitation’ episodes of low speed and low persistence. However, the molecular mechanism that controls the dynamic changes in morphology, speed and persistence of migratory cells remains unclear.

During random mesenchymal migration (i.e. no chemotactic gradients), cells continuously change their morphology, dynamically switching between elongated and round morphology (Köppen et al., 2006). Maintaining cell polarity by properly positioning the nucleus is necessary for mesenchymal cell migration, which is driven by repeated cycles of polarization, protrusion, translocation and retraction of the cell (Bretscher, 2008; Horwitz and Webb, 2003; Morris, 2000; Petrie et al., 2009).

Although nuclear movements mediated by microtubule-dependent processes have been studied extensively (Cadot et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2005; Levy and Holzbaur, 2008; Umeshima et al., 2007; Wilson and Holzbaur, 2012), recent work has revealed that actin filaments are also involved in nuclear dynamics in migrating cells (Gomes et al., 2005) through specific connections between the nuclear envelope and the actin cytoskeleton (Starr and Fridolfsson, 2010). In polarized cells, F-actin is involved in initial symmetry-disrupting processes that rapidly respond to external stimuli; microtubules stabilize the asymmetry generated by actin filament dynamics (Li and Gundersen, 2008). In particular, transmembrane actin-associated nuclear (TAN) lines assembled with cytoplasmic actin filaments and LINC (linkers of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton) complex proteins nesprin-2 giant (nesprin-2G, the largest isoform encoded by the nesprin-2 gene) and SUN2 have been found to enable reward movement of the nucleus in migrating fibroblasts in the wound healing assay (Luxtorn et al., 2010).

Recently, we characterized highly ordered actomyosin filament bundles that tightly cover the apical surfaces of the interphase nucleus and specifically bind the nuclear envelope and the nuclear lamina through LINC complexes in a wide range of adherent cells, termed the perinuclear actin cap (or actin cap) (Khatau et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2013). The actin-cap fibers and their terminating focal adhesions act as key components of the physical pathway that converts extracellular stimuli into intracellular signals (Kim et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2012). As cell migration involves continuous mechanosensation, and various physiological and pathological processes – such as cancer metastasis and embryonic development – are highly dependent on cell motility (Chaffer and Weinberg, 2011; Gupta and Massagué, 2006; Thiery et al., 2009; Wirtz et al., 2011), we hypothesized that the actin cap would regulate cell migration.

Here, our results indicate that the dynamic formation and dissolution of the actin cap tightly controls the timing and occurrence of fast persistence moves in fibroblast migration. Furthermore, this study reveals that the translocation and rotation of the interphase nucleus are regulated by the dynamic attachment of the actin cap to the nuclear envelope via KASH-SUN interactions in the perinuclear space between the inner and outer nuclear membranes.

RESULTS

The actin cap controls cell migration

During random migration, mesenchymal cells such as mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) continuously change their morphology, dynamically switching between an elongated and a rounded shape. We have recently shown that cell shape controls nuclear shape through the formation of the actin cap (Khatau et al., 2009), which is organized at the apical surface of the nucleus (Fig. 1A and supplementary material Movie 1). In the absence of chemotactic gradients, the migration of adherent cells,
including fibroblasts, endothelial cells and myoblasts, which can form an organized actin cap (Kim et al., 2013), resembles a persistent random-walk that consists of intermittent highly persistent fast moves and slow moves of low persistence (Fig. 1B and supplementary material Movie 2). Confirming the previous results that selective disruption of the actin cap could be achieved by modulating substrate compliance without affecting the organization of basal actin fibers (A versus C). Cell trajectories (red), decomposed into persistence vectors (yellow) connecting episodes of non-persistent motion, and final distance (green) were estimated from 8 h movies (B). MEFs with a disrupted actin cap moved less persistently than actin-cap-forming MEFs (B versus D). (E–H) Proportion of cells showing an actin cap (E), cell speed (F), final distance (G) and persistence distance (H) in response to changes in substrate compliance (black, glass; gray, polyacrylamide hydrogel) and shRNA-induced depletion of focal adhesion proteins paxillin and zyxin. (I–L) Correlative analysis between the formation of actin cap and cell migration. Straight lines obtained by linear regression represent overall trends of correlations. Corresponding Pearson’s correlation coefficients $r$ values are 0.93, 0.89 and 0.68 for gray (correlation with data obtained by changes in substrate compliance and depletion of focal adhesion proteins), and 0.93, 0.86 and 0.72 for red (validation with latrunculin B treatment and KASH2 transfection), respectively. Over 300 cells were analyzed to determine the fraction of cells forming an actin cap (E,I) and over 50 cells were monitored to measure the descriptors of cell motility (F–H,J–L) per condition. Panel E was adapted from Kim et al., 2012; and panels F–H were adapted from Kim and Wirtz, 2013a, Kim and Wirtz, 2013b.
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Fig. 1. The role of the actin cap in cell migration. (A–D) Differential formation of the actin cap and migration of MEFs lying on glass (A,B) or polyacrylamide hydrogel (C,D). DAPI-stained nucleus (blue), actin filaments (green) and vinculin-marked focal adhesions (red) of MEFs reveal that specific disruption of the actin cap does not affect the organization of basal actin fibers (A versus C). Cell trajectories (red), decomposed into persistence vectors (yellow) connecting episodes of non-persistent motion, and final distance (green) were estimated from 8 h movies (B). MEFs with a disrupted actin cap moved less persistently than actin-cap-forming MEFs (B versus D). (E–H) Proportion of cells showing an actin cap (E), cell speed (F), final distance (G) and persistence distance (H) in response to changes in substrate compliance (black, glass; gray, polyacrylamide hydrogel) and shRNA-induced depletion of focal adhesion proteins paxillin and zyxin. (I–L) Correlative analysis between the formation of actin cap and cell migration. Straight lines obtained by linear regression represent overall trends of correlations. Corresponding Pearson’s correlation coefficients $r$ values are 0.93, 0.89 and 0.68 for gray (correlation with data obtained by changes in substrate compliance and depletion of focal adhesion proteins), and 0.93, 0.86 and 0.72 for red (validation with latrunculin B treatment and KASH2 transfection), respectively. Over 300 cells were analyzed to determine the fraction of cells forming an actin cap (E,I) and over 50 cells were monitored to measure the descriptors of cell motility (F–H,J–L) per condition. Panel E was adapted from Kim et al., 2012; and panels F–H were adapted from Kim and Wirtz, 2013a, Kim and Wirtz, 2013b.
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The nucleus displays mutually exclusive rotation and translocation

As cell migration is closely related to the differential formation of the actin cap (Fig. 1) and the actin cap regulates nuclear morphology through LINC complexes (Khatau et al., 2009), we asked whether the nucleus also showed two distinct morphologies and migratory modes during cell migration. To test this hypothesis, we first monitored the morphology and movements of the interphase nucleus of a randomly migrating cell (Fig. 2A). Consistent with the previous result (Fig. 2A–D), elongated nuclei (marked by A and B) were smaller and moved more rapidly than the rounded nucleus (marked by C), which was relatively large and moved slowly (Fig. 2E–I). We also noticed that the rounded nucleus rotated intermittently (Fig. 2E and supplementary material Movie 5) and net longitudinal displacements of the nuclear centroid were consistently smaller than for elongated nuclei (see the distribution of instantaneous displacements, inset of Fig. 2H). By contrast, elongated nuclei underwent fast translocation with no or little rotation. These results indicated that the slow migration of a rounded and large nucleus coincided with effective nuclear rotation accompanied with little net nuclear translocation, whereas the fast migration of an elongated and small nucleus coincided with effective nuclear translocation accompanied with little rotation.

Finally, we asked whether nuclear translocation and rotation originated in part from cell-to-cell variations or if a nucleus dynamically switched between these two modes of motion within the same cell. While carefully avoiding phototoxicity during long-term imaging of transfected cells, we monitored size, shape and centroid position of EGFP-histone H2B-labeled nuclei. We found that the nucleus was able to switch its migratory pattern dynamically between a translocation-dominated mode and a rotation-dominated mode during random cell migration (Fig. 2J and supplementary material Movie 6). Consistent with the previous result (Fig. 2C,D), quantitative analysis of single-nucleus tracking data confirmed the characteristic sigmoidal relationship between nuclear size and shape (Fig. 2K), which were inversely correlated with nuclear speed (Fig. 2L,M).

Moreover, nuclear size increased with the magnitude of angular changes between consecutive centroid displacements of the nucleus (Fig. 2N).

Together, these results indicate that the nucleus of a migrating cell dynamically modifies its morphology and movement according to a tight relationship: the nucleus consistently becomes small and elongated when it moves rapidly and rotates little, and, vice versa, it becomes large and rounded when the nucleus moves slowly and mostly rotates.

The actin cap controls nuclear rotation and translocation

The actin cap tightly regulates nuclear shape in response to changes in cell shape (Khatau et al., 2009). Since the formation of the actin cap predicts both cell speed and persistence (Fig. 1) and the two modes of nuclear migration (i.e. translocation and rotation) are tightly related to nuclear morphology (Fig. 2), we asked whether nuclear translocation and rotation could be induced separately by controlling cell shape and migration. To study this, we placed cells both on fibronectin...
microcontact-printed narrow stripes and circular islands. These adhesive patterns induced elongated and rounded cell shape, and mimicked the rapid persistent cell migration and slow non-persistent cell migration, respectively (Fig. 3A,B and supplementary material Movies 7 and 8), which naturally occur during regular random cell migration.

Nuclear morphology and organization of filamentous actin (F-actin) in cells plated on micropatterns were visualized under confocal laser microscope. By progressively lowering the focal plane from the apical surface of the cell to the underlying fluorescently labeled fibronectin patterns (Fig. 3A,B), we found that actin-cap stress fibers above the nucleus were consistently formed only for cells placed on stripe patterns (Fig. 3A,C), whereas the actin cap was consistently dismantled in cells placed on circular patterns (Fig. 3B,D). Importantly, basal actin stress fibers were similarly present in both conditions (Fig. 3A,B). Based on results in Fig. 2, we hypothesized that the nucleus of cells on circular patterns would show mostly rotation, whereas the nucleus of cells on stripes would show mostly translocation.

Fig. 2. See next page for legend.
Fig. 2. Two distinct modes of nuclear migration. (A–D) Tight functional relationship between nuclear morphology and nuclear movements in a randomly migrating MEF. Dotted circles denote nuclear boundaries; length and direction of arrows represent nuclear speed and direction of movement, respectively, at the indicated time points (A). Morphometric analysis revealed dynamic changes in size, shape and speed of the nucleus (B). Nuclear size and shape were estimated by measuring the surface area (green) and shape factor (blue), defined as \(4\pi A/P^2\), where \(A\) and \(P\) are the area and perimeter of the nucleus, respectively, approaching 1 for a perfectly round nucleus and 0 for a needle-like elongated nucleus. Nuclear speed (red) was estimated by measuring the centroid displacement of the nucleus every 10 s (gray), which was smoothed using a 2nd-order polynomial with four neighbors for each time point. Yellow arrowheads indicate corresponding time points captured in A. Changes in nuclear size and shape are synchronized and opposite to changes in nuclear speed (B). A nucleus became rounded as it increases in size, whereas the nucleus tends to move slowly (C). There is a distinct sigmoidal relationship between size and shape of the nucleus, as well as a strong positive correlation coefficient \((r=0.89)\). The transition region (yellow dotted box) was re-plotted to distinguish the nuclear size-increasing phase (blue inverted triangles collected from 0–60 min), where nuclear shape dramatically changed in a fixed nuclear size (\(\sim 185 \mu^2\)) and this change was detected both in the size-increasing phase and size-decreasing phase (D). (E–I) Movements of nuclei in MEFs transfected with EGFP-histone H2B. Two small and elongated nuclei (denoted by A and B) move more directionally than a large and rounded nucleus (denoted by C) that rotates clockwise in the same position (E). Nuclei were imaged every 10 min using time-lapse confocal microscopy. The size (F) and shape (G) of nuclei are closely related to the distinct displacement patterns. (H,I) Small and elongated nuclei move faster and farther than a large and rounded nucleus (A and B versus C). (J–N) Alteration of distinct nuclear migratory modes in the same nucleus. A EGFP-Histone H2B-tagged nucleus was monitored every 5 min (J). As shown above (A–D), as nuclear size increased, the nucleus tended to be round (K); this large and rounded nucleus moved slowly (L,M). Consistent with the above result (L), when the nucleus was small (and thus had an elongated shape), it moved more directionally (i.e. making less angular changes in direction of movement), whereas the nucleus could not maintain persistent motion when it became large (N). Pearson’s correlation coefficients were estimated for each plot; the straight lines obtained by linear regression represent overall trends.

Cells confined to narrow stripes were elongated (Fig. 3G) and their nucleus maintained an elongated shape during one-dimensional cell migration (Fig. 3E,I and supplementary material Movie 7). By contrast, cells confined on circular adhesive islands were rounded (Fig. 3G) and did not undergo net migration whereas the periphery of these cells showed continuous formation and retraction of lamellipodial protrusions (Fig. 3F and supplementary material Movie 8). Quantitative analysis of DAPI-stained fluorescence micrographs indicated nuclei of elongated cells on the stripe patterns were smaller and more elongated than the nuclei of cells plated on the circular patterns (Fig. 3H,I). Analysis of live-cell movies showed that the nucleus of cells on stripes underwent rapid translocation and little rotation (Fig. 3J,K), whereas nuclei of cells on circular patterns displayed little translocation (Fig. 3J) but large rotational movements (defined as relative changes in angles computed from the centroid positions of nucleus and nucleoli), which produced significantly higher angular velocities than nuclei of cells on stripe patterns (Fig. 3K).

Together, these results indicate that the nucleus of cells on stripes and circular patterns undergo translocation and rotation, respectively, and exclusively of each other. These two distinct modes of nuclear motion are intimately related to the status of the actin cap and the movements of the cell: the nucleus mostly rotates when the actin cap is absent in slowly or non-moving rounded cells, whereas the nucleus mostly translocates when the actin cap is present in fast and persistently moving elongated cells.

Distinct nuclear movements synchronize with cell polarization

To induce actin-cap-mediated nuclear translocation and rotation separately, we used stripe-patterned cells that could move unidirectionally and round-patterned cells that were not motile. These are the phenotypic migrating modes of polarized motile cells and non-polarized non-motile cells, respectively (Petrie et al., 2009). Therefore, we asked whether the actin cap harnessed the cell polarity to differentiate two distinct nucleus/cell migratory modes.

As differentiated cells such as fibroblasts use microtubule-based cell polarity to establish their actin-rich leading edge to execute cell migration (Chung et al., 2000), we first monitored the random migration of EGFP-\(\alpha\)-tubulin-transfected cells to visualize the microtubule network (Fig. 4A–C and supplementary material Movie 9). In contrast to the actin cap that showed distinct formation and disruption during nuclear translocation and rotation steps (Fig. 3), respectively, the overall organization of the microtubule network remained unchanged between these two steps (Fig. 4A–C). However, the nucleus and the centrosome (or microtubule-organizing center, MTOC) identified as the bright spot in these cells were differently located. Nuclei were located in the back of the cell and advanced forwards during nuclear translocation (supplementary material Fig. S3F), which was generally observed while cells moved persistently (i.e. fast migration), but they were located close to the centroid of the cell during nuclear rotation that was observed when cell migration was hindered and cells were repolarized for the subsequent persistent moves. When the elongated nucleus moved forward (translocation step, <30 min, Fig. 4B,C), the MTOC was positioned in the vicinity of the nucleus but away from the cell center (i.e. the cell was more polarized). By contrast, the MTOC was located inside the nuclear boundary and almost overlapped with the centroid of the cell (less polarized) when the elongated nucleus became rounded and nuclear rotation occurred (rotation step, >60 min, Fig. 4B,C).

Next, we quantitatively measured the relative positions of MTOC and nucleus in cells confined to stripes and circular islands (Fig. 4D–G), as these cells showed only nuclear translocation and nuclear rotation, respectively (Fig. 3). Previous studies reported that the centrosome in polarized motile cells is highly related to the directional cell migration but its location varies depending on cell type (Danowski et al., 2001; Desai et al., 2013; Niu et al., 1997; Pouthas et al., 2008; Ueda et al., 1997; Yvon et al., 2002), contact inhibition (Desai et al., 2013) and physical constraint (Jiang et al., 2005); our group recently demonstrated that MTOC position was highly correlated with cell polarization: the distance between MTOC and cell centroid was larger in polarized single cells (Hale et al., 2011). Consistent with the observation using EGFP-\(\alpha\)-tubulin-transfected cells (Fig. 4A–C), the MTOC stained for \(\gamma\)-tubulin was away from the cell center, between the leading edge of the cell and the nucleus in the stripe-patterned cells, which is consistent with the direction of the cell migration, whereas it was localized close to the geometric centroid of the circular patterned cells (Fig. 4D–G).

These results further support our model of cell migration where the actin cap is the crucial regulator of the nucleus–cell-coupled...
migration, i.e. the presence of the actin cap mediates nuclear translocation and persistent directional cell migration by maintaining cell polarity, whereas the disruption of the actin cap deconstructs cell polarity and endows unpolarized cells with a chance to find a new direction to undergo their next persistent move.
Lamin A/C and LINC complex-mediated differential formation of the actin cap regulates cell migration

We further validated our actin-cap-based model of nuclear migration by investigating the nuclear morphology and migratory modes in cells that naturally lacked an actin cap. The highly contractile fibers of the actin cap have previously been shown to shape the interphase nucleus through its dynamic connections to the LINC complexes and the nuclear lamina (Khatau et al., 2009). Our results in Figs 1 and 3 predict that the nucleus of cells lacking an actin cap should mostly undergo rotation and little translocation. As we have previously shown that lamin A/C deficiency disrupted the actin cap in MEFs (Khatau et al., 2009), we compared the nuclear morphology and movements of control and lamin A/C-deficient (Lmna<sup>−/−</sup>) MEFs. Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells displayed a dismantled actin cap while the organization of basal actin fibers was mostly unchanged compared with control cells (Fig. 5A–D). The nucleus of Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells was also more rounded than the nucleus of control cells (Fig. 5E). Moreover, live-cell analysis revealed that the nucleus of actin-cap-forming control cells had a translocation speed that was twice as high as that of the nucleus of actin-cap-disrupted Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells (Fig. 5F; supplementary material Fig. S3G) and the nucleus of Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells rotated significantly more than the nucleus of control cells (Fig. 5G; supplementary material Fig. S3H).

Next, we analyzed the effect of lamin A/C deficiency on cell migration. Our results so far would predict that as the actin cap is disrupted in Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells (Fig. 5A–C), the overall cell speed and persistence should decrease (Fig. M–O) as nuclear translocation is decreased (Fig. 3). We measured the mean speed, final distance and mean persistence distance of control and Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells by tracking time-dependent centroid positions of individual cells. As predicted by our model of coupled cell-nucleus migration through the actin cap, both speed and persistence of Lmna<sup>−/−</sup> cells were diminished (Fig. 5H; supplementary material Fig. S3H) and the nucleus of control cells, which moved unidirectionally along the underlying stripe patterns (Fig. 5I,K,O,P and supplementary material Movie 10). These results clearly verify that lamin A/C and LINC complexes...
Fig. 5. Molecular mechanism controlling actin-cap-mediated coupled nucleus/cell migration. (A–E) Status of actin filaments and nuclear shape in control and lamin A/C-deficient (Lmna−/−) cells. Representative organization of actin filaments and DAPI-stained nucleus were visualized by maximum-intensity projection in control (A) and Lmna−/− cells (B). Insets confirm that Lamin A/C (red) in the equatorial plane and well-organized actin-cap fibers on top of the nucleus formed only in control cells. Lamin A/C deficiency significantly reduced the fraction of cells forming an actin cap (C) without affecting basal actin structures (D), and increased nuclear shape factor (E). In C–E, over 300 cells were tested for each condition (five biological repeats for control and three for Lmna−/− cells). (F–H) Comparison of nuclear movement and cell migration between control and Lmna−/− cells. Lamin A/C deficiency significantly reduced nuclear translocation (F) but enhanced nuclear rotation (G), whereas cell speed decreased (H). In F and G, over 20 cells were analyzed; in H, over 50 cells were monitored per condition. (I–P) Verification of the role of actin cap in cell migration. Comparison of immunofluorescence of actin filaments (green or cyan), nucleus (blue) on fibronectin-stained adhesive stripes (red) between control (left) and EGFP-KASH2 (green) transfected cells (right). The image of the control cell is reused from Fig. 3A. EGFP-KASH2-transfected cells did not form an actin cap and showed round nuclear shape without affecting the organization of basal actin in the same micropatterns (I). Persistent migration of control (J) and impeded migration of EGFP-KASH2-transfected cells (K) on stripes. Quantification of cell shape (L), nuclear shape factor (M), and cell speed (N) show that the disruption of the actin cap prevents nuclear elongation and restricts cell migration in spite of elongated cell shape. Representative traces of migrating cells are depicted (P). EGFP-KASH2-transfected cells were identified using GFP channel before monitoring (K,O,P). In L–N, over 100 nuclei- and actin-stained cells were analyzed. In O,P, over 30 cells were monitored.
mediated coupled interplay between nucleus and cell through the actin cap, rather than through cell shape, and that this is crucial to direct persistent fast cell migration.

**Dynein LIC2 drives nuclear rotation**

To determine the molecular mechanism differentiating nuclear rotation from nuclear translocation, we analyzed nuclear motion in MEFs depleted of microtubule motor dynein. In particular, cytoplasmic dynein light intermediate chain 2 (LIC2) was depleted because this domain of dynein has been shown to be necessary for centrosomal localization (Schmaranzer et al., 2009), which determines the direction of moving cells (Ueda et al., 1997). We found no significant differences in the positions of the MTOC and the nucleus between control and LIC2-depleted cells (Supplementary material Fig. S4), which was consistent with previous results. The previous study revealed that LIC2 was essential for neither single-cell polarization nor directional cell motility (Hale et al., 2011). Therefore, LIC2 does not dominate nuclear translocation that reflects polarized cell migration (Fig. 4), but it may play a role in nuclear rotation that occurs among the episodes of actin-cap-mediated nuclear translocation.

To confirm that LIC2 was necessary to drive nuclear rotation and determine how LIC2-mediated nuclear rotation was related to the formation of the actin cap, we compared the status of the actin cap, nuclear motion and cell migration in conditions that we have tested so far (Fig. 6). Compared with control cells that formed an actin cap and expressed LIC2 (Fig. 6A), cells specifically depleted of their actin cap through treatment with latrunculin B, transfection of the EGFP-KASH2 construct, deletion of Lmna and confinement on circular islands showed unchanged LIC2 distribution (Fig. 6B–E), diminished nuclear translocation accompanied by diminished cell speed, but enhanced nuclear rotation (green, Fig. 6I–L). By contrast, LIC2-depleted cells maintained an actin cap (Fig. 6F), but nuclear rotation was decreased threefold compared with control cells, while only marginally affecting nuclear translocation and cell migration (red, Fig. 6I–L). However, cells depleted of LIC2 and treated with latrunculin B (Fig. 6G) showed significantly decreased nuclear translocation and rotation (purple, Fig. 6I–K). Interestingly, contrary to latrunculin B-treated cells, these latrunculin B-treated LIC2-depleted cells did not experience enhanced nuclear rotation compared with LIC2-depleted cells (Fig. 6K). These cells also showed significantly lower cell speed than either latrunculin B-treated cells or LIC2-depleted cells (Fig. 6I). Hence, nuclear rotation is driven by LIC2 but restricted by the actin cap and LIC2 does not regulate cell speed when the actin cap forms.

To reconfirm the LIC2-driven nuclear rotation further, we eliminated any possible interferences with the formation of actin cap or cell migration by placing LIC2-depleted cells on circular adhesive patterns (Fig. 6H), where no actin cap formed, no nuclear translocation was observed, and cell movements were completely confined (Fig. 6J,L and Supplementary material Movie 11). Compared with the circular-patterned LIC2-expressing cells that showed the highest nuclear rotation, LIC2-depleted circular-patterned cells showed eightfold decreased nuclear rotation, which was a substantially larger decrease than the threefold decrease displayed by LIC2-depleted cells that formed an actin cap and could move as fast as control cells (Fig. 6K,L). These results reinforced the notion that the actin cap is a crucial regulator of cell polarization and persistent cell migration as randomly migrating LIC2-depleted cells have the following features: (1) an actin cap was present (Fig. 6F,I); (2) the relative position of MTOC and nucleus remained intact (Supplementary material Fig. S4); (3) nuclear translocation was maintained and only nuclear rotation was impeded (Fig. 6J,K); and (4) there was no significant changes in cell speed (Fig. 6L) or in persistence distance and persistence time (Hale et al., 2011). Therefore, LIC2-driven nuclear rotation is controlled by the actin cap: the actin cap obstructs nuclear rotation when it forms but allows nuclear rotation when it is disrupted (Fig. 6M). This further supports our hypothesis that the actin cap controls cell migration (Fig. 1): compare fast nuclear translocation in actin-cap-bearing fast-moving polarized cells with dominant LIC2-mediated nuclear rotation in slowly moving unpolarized cells that lack an actin cap.

**Nuclear and cellular movements are coupled through the actin cap**

Together, our results (Figs 1–6) suggest that the status of the actin cap predicts nuclear morphology (size and shape) and the type of nuclear movements (rotation versus translocation), as well as cell shape and the dominant mode of cell migration (fast persistent versus slow non-persistent). We now assess a possible interplay between nuclear and cellular movements through the actin cap in the same cell.

First, we monitored the formation and disruption of actin cap in EGFP-LifeAct-transfected live cells. In each time frame, confocal images from the top and bottom of the cell were collected to distinguish the actin cap in the apical region of the cell above the nucleus (red, Fig. 7A) from basal actin structures (green, Fig. 7A). As analyzed so far in fixed specimens, when the actin cap was removed from the top of the nucleus (between times ~460 min and ~510 min in Fig. 7A), nuclear translocation was switched to nuclear rotation and the cell changed its direction of movement (Fig. 7A and Supplementary material Movie 12).

Next, we simultaneously monitored the nucleus, its nucleoli (to measure nuclear rotation), cell boundary and actin-cap fibers in EGFP-LifeAct-transfected cells (Supplementary material Movie 13). The correlative analysis revealed that cell shape and cell speed were inversely related to nuclear morphology and modes of nuclear migration, respectively (Fig. 7B–E versus Fig. 7B,F–I). We next compared changes in cell shape and speed, nuclear morphology, nuclear longitudinal speed and angular speed with the status of actin-cap fibers in the same movies (Fig. 7J). Data were binarized so all measured quantities were either 0 or 1. In periods during which actin-cap fibers were present (yellow), a cell was elongated and moved rapidly, its nucleus was small and elongated, and nuclear translocation dominated, whereas in periods during which actin-cap fibers were dismantled (purple), the cell was rounded and moved slowly, its nucleus was large and rounded, and nuclear rotation dominated (Fig. 7J).

These results suggest that the intermittent stop-and-go motion of a cell during random migration is driven by the dynamic formation of the actin cap: during a persistent directional fast move, the cell is elongated and its small and elongated nucleus only translocates; during a phase where the cell does not significantly move, the cell is rounded and its rounded large nucleus only rotates to repolarize the cell for its next persistent directional move. This perpetual dynamic phenotypic switch is mediated by the dynamic formation of the actin cap (Fig. 7K).
DISCUSSION
Our results establish a model of highly coupled migration between the cell and its nucleus mediated by the actin cap. Random migration of cells consists of the perpetual dynamic alternance of: (1) fast directional moves, accompanied by the translocation of the elongated actin-cap-bearing nucleus, which cannot rotate; and (2) low-speed ‘hesitation’ episodes between these directional moves, accompanied by LIC2-mediated rotation.
Fig. 7. See next page for legend.
indicate positive and z and, indeed, cell shape is more symmetric. These non-polarized, cannot be stabilized by actin-cap-associated focal adhesions. Such short-lived side protrusions are readily observed in actin-cap fibrous adhesions (Kim et al., 2012), these focal adhesions may stabilize significantly larger and longer-lived than conventional focal adhesions that terminate regular stress fibers (Kim et al., 2012). Therefore, even cells that can have an actin cap (e.g. fibroblasts or endothelial cells), do not always display one. For example, at any time, only ~70% of MEFs show an actin cap (Fig. 11). Such a highly dynamic feature of the actin cap may give rise to multiple possible interpretations about the role of the actin cap in nuclear motion. A recent study demonstrated that anterior actomyosin contraction was the driving force for the forward nuclear translocation, suggesting that the apical actin fibers (i.e. actin cap in our paper) were not necessary for this nuclear motion because nuclear translocation was observed while aligned apical fibers moved orthogonally or even while these fibers were stationary (Wu et al., 2014). Taking into account the dynamic assembly of LINC complexes that reorganize the actin cap in a highly dynamic manner, it may be possible to observe the lateral translation or stationary situation of actin-cap fibers, i.e. it is not necessary that the actin-cap fibers should move together with the nucleus, which also contradicts the highly dynamic LINC-mediated connections between the actin cap and nucleus. In our results, we demonstrate that the nuclear translocation (and correlated fast and persistent cell migration) was dominant in the actin-cap-bearing state and interpret as follows. Owing to the unique structure of the actin cap, the pulling force generated by the formation of new lamellippodia in the leading edge can be efficiently transmitted to the nucleus and the nucleus may advance through the continuous dynamic assembly and disassembly of LINC complexes, where actin-cap-associated focal adhesions may establish the principal lamellipodia in the direction of the actin-cap fibers, which determines the direction of cell migration.

In support of this model of nuclear migration controlled by coupled actin cap and dynein motor, we note that LIC2 does not regulate cell speed in the presence of an actin cap (Fig. 6L), whereas actin cap directs fast persistent cell migration (Fig. 1). When the actin cap dissipates, LIC2-mediated nuclear rotation becomes highly effective due to the absence of a physical obstacle (or brake), which allows cells to repolarize between persistent moves. Consistent with the previous study (Levy and Holzbaur, 2008) that demonstrated that dynein contributes to directed cell migration by maintaining nuclear centrality, dual elimination of the actin cap and LIC2 shows more significant reduction of cell migration than cells lacking an actin cap (but with active LIC2). Together, these results identify the role of LIC2-mediated nuclear rotation as the secondary driving force for directed cell migration when the actin cap disappears. When the actin cap is formed, the fibers of the actin cap constitute a natural barrier to nuclear rotation through LINC complexes, which span between the nuclear lamina and the actin cap, and may serve as a friction brake against LIC2-mediated nuclear rotation.

In this two-gear model of random cell migration, the forces of migration are still provided mostly by classical basal stress fibers, which are much more numerous than actin-cap fibers, whereas

**Fig. 7.** Multiparametric functional relationship between actin cap and dynamic changes of morphology and migration of nucleus and cell. (A) Actin cap in a live cell. EGFP-LifeAct-transfected MEF was monitored by time-lapse confocal microscopy. Distance from the top to the bottom of the cell is ~6 μm; z-step was 0.25 μm. Images were captured every 10 min for ~12 h. Top 10 planes of collected images were maximum-intensity projected onto a single image to visualize the status of actin cap (red). Migrating direction of cell and nucleus is indicated in top and bottom panels, respectively. (B–I) Assessment of correlations among descriptors of cell morphology, cell migration, nuclear morphology and nuclear migration. As cell became rounded (i.e. increased cell shape factor), the nucleus increased in size (B) and became rounded (C), the longitudinal nuclear speed decreased (D) and the angular nuclear speed was high (E). As shape, size, migration speed of the nucleus oppositely responded to changes in cell shape and speed (B–E versus F–I), small and elongated nuclei were detected when cells moved rapidly (F,G) and thus longitudinal speed and angular speed of nuclei were correlated with cell speed positively (H) and negatively (I), respectively. In B–I, each data point was collected by monitoring a single cell every 5 min for 6 h. Pearson’s correlation coefficients indicate the degree of correlation, while + and − indicate positive and negative correlations, respectively. The straight line in each plot obtained by linear regression was added to visualize overall trends. (J) Temporal changes in the status of actin cap (green), cell shape and speed (red), nuclear morphology and migration (blue) during the actin-cap-forming periods (yellow) and actin-cap-disrupted period (purple). In the presence of actin cap (yellow), the cell was elongated and moved fast, the nucleus was small and elongated, and nuclear translocation was dominant; in the absence of actin cap (purple), the cell was rounded and moved slowly, the nucleus was large and rounded, and nuclear rotation was dominant. In J, measurements used previously (B–I) were binarized by assigning 0 to values less than average or 1 to values greater than average. For the status of the actin cap, 0 corresponds to absence or disruption of the actin cap, while 1 corresponds to presence of organized actin-cap fibers. (K) Summary of actin-cap-mediated stop-and-go motion and corresponding interactions between nucleus and cell.

of the round, actin-cap-lacking nucleus that cannot translocate. During cell migration, the actin cap allows the cell to maintain a set polarization for a relatively long duration (30 min–2 h, Fig. 7I) before the cell can repolarize to re-orient in a new direction for its next persistent move. Hence, a cell undergoing persistent random-walk migration corresponds to a two-gear system tightly regulated by its actin cap.

Moreover, the focal adhesions that specifically terminate actin-cap fibers assist long-lived persistent moves of the cell. Unlike conventional focal adhesions that terminate regular stress fibers that are located over the entire basal surface of the cell, actin-cap-associated focal adhesions are specifically located at the two narrow sectors in the direction of the aligned actin-cap fibers, i.e. at leading lamellipodial edge and the trailing edge of the cell (Kim et al., 2012). As actin-cap-associated focal adhesions are significantly larger and longer-lived than conventional focal adhesions (Kim et al., 2012), these focal adhesions may stabilize and therefore define the principal lamellipodium, which allows long-lasting cell polarization and persistent cell migration in the direction of the actin-cap fibers.

Cell protrusions are continuously and dynamically generated along the entire periphery of adherent mesenchymal cells owing to actin assembly and to acto-myosin contractility. Our results suggest that most of these protrusions are short-lived because they are not stabilized by actin-cap-associated focal adhesions. Such short-lived side protrusions are readily observed in actin-cap disrupted cells (conditions in Fig. 6B–E). These protrusions cannot be stabilized by actin-cap-associated focal adhesions and, indeed, cell shape is more symmetric. These non-polarized, short-lived protrusions induce short-lived movements of the cell in haphazard directions, but no net cell movement as they cannot be turned into a polarized lamellipodium. In cases when the actin cap is disrupted, significant LIC2-driven nuclear rotation can occur because the brakes provided by the actin cap are off. This rotating nucleus in turn prevents stable polarization of the cell.

The actin cap can spontaneously disappear due to the finite lifetime of SUN-KASH binding at the nuclear envelope (Ostlund et al., 2009). We note that dual labeling of actin and focal adhesions in live cells reveals that the actin cap can naturally slide on the apical surface of the nucleus and fall to the bottom of the cell, instantaneously turning actin-cap fibers into regular basal stress fibers (Kim et al., 2012). Therefore, even cells that can have an actin cap (e.g. fibroblasts or endothelial cells), do not always display one. For example, at any time, only ~70% of MEFs show an actin cap (Fig. 11). Such a highly dynamic feature of the actin cap may give rise to multiple possible interpretations about the role of the actin cap in nuclear motion. A recent study demonstrated that anterior actomyosin contraction was the driving force for the forward nuclear translocation, suggesting that the apical actin fibers (i.e. actin cap in our paper) were not necessary for this nuclear motion because nuclear translocation was observed while aligned apical fibers moved orthogonally or even while these fibers were stationary (Wu et al., 2014). Taking into account the dynamic assembly of LINC complexes that reorganize the actin cap in a highly dynamic manner, it may be possible to observe the lateral translation or stationary situation of actin-cap fibers, i.e. it is not necessary that the actin-cap fibers should move together with the nucleus, which also contradicts the highly dynamic LINC-mediated connections between the actin cap and nucleus. In our results, we demonstrate that the nuclear translocation (and correlated fast and persistent cell migration) was dominant in the actin-cap-bearing state and interpret as follows. Owing to the unique structure of the actin cap, the pulling force generated by the formation of new lamellipodia in the leading edge can be efficiently transmitted to the nucleus and the nucleus may advance through the continuous dynamic assembly and disassembly of LINC complexes, where actin-cap-associated focal adhesions may establish the principal lamellipodia in the direction of the actin-cap fibers, which determines the direction of cell migration.

In support of this model of nuclear migration controlled by coupled actin cap and dynein motor, we note that LIC2 does not regulate cell speed in the presence of an actin cap (Fig. 6L), whereas actin cap directs fast persistent cell migration (Fig. 1). When the actin cap dissolves, LIC2-mediated nuclear rotation becomes highly effective due to the absence of a physical obstacle (or brake), which allows cells to repolarize between persistent moves. Consistent with the previous study (Levy and Holzbaur, 2008) that demonstrated that dynein contributes to directed cell migration by maintaining nuclear centrality, dual elimination of the actin cap and LIC2 shows more significant reduction of cell migration than cells lacking an actin cap (but with active LIC2). Together, these results identify the role of LIC2-mediated nuclear rotation as the secondary driving force for directed cell migration when the actin cap disappears. When the actin cap is formed, the fibers of the actin cap constitute a natural barrier to nuclear rotation through LINC complexes, which span between the nuclear lamina and the actin cap, and may serve as a friction brake against LIC2-mediated nuclear rotation.

In this two-gear model of random cell migration, the forces of migration are still provided mostly by classical basal stress fibers, which are much more numerous than actin-cap fibers, whereas
the gearbox is the actin cap. When the actin cap is ‘on’, the cell moves fast and persistently; when the actin cap is ‘off’, the cell no longer moves efficiently.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture**

Control and lamin A/C-deficient (Lmna−/−) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (ATCC) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (ATCC), 100 units/ml of penicillin and 100 μg/ml of streptomycin. To culture MEFs depleted of focal-adhesion proteins or LIC2, and C212 siRNA scramble and nesprin-2giant-depleted cells, 2 μg/ml and 10 μg/ml of puromycin were added into the culture medium, respectively, after selection. Reagents were purchased from Sigma unless stated otherwise.

**Transient transfection and drug treatment**

Transient transfection complex was prepared in Optim-MEM I reduced serum medium (Gibco) and FuGENE HD (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s specifications. Latrunculin B was diluted to the final concentration of 60 nM. Cells were incubated with a drug added to the culture medium for 1 h before subsequent experiments.

**Substrate preparation**

Compliant polyacrylamide hydrogel (PAG) substrates were prepared by mixing acrylamide and N,N-methylenebisacrylamide in distilled H₂O at a final concentration of 5% and 0.15% (w/v). To initiate polymerization, ammonium persulfate and N,N,N’,N’-tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED, Invitrogen) were introduced as 5% and 0.5% (v/v) of total mixture. The surface of the hydrogel was treated with UV-activatable cross-linker, N-Sulfo succinimidyl-6(4′-azido-2′-nitrophenylamino) hexanoate (sulfo-SANPAH, Pierce) before coating the 0.2 mg/ml type-I collagen (BD Biosciences). Unless stated, cells were plated on the same collagen-coated glass substrate.

**Protein depletion**

Depletion of focal adhesion proteins was conducted as described previously (Kim et al., 2012), where RNAi sequences targeting two different positions in mRNA of paxillin and zyxin were designed. After treating cells with lentiviral-mediated RNAi, cells showing >90% protein depletion efficiency were selected. To deplete LIC2, LIC2 sh116993 (5'-CCTCGAGCTTGTTGATTAAGTAC-3') and LIC2 sh116996 (5'-GAAAGCCAGACTCTTGG TAAC-3') were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. shRNA-induced protein knock-down was fully validated by western blots before use. Protein-depleted MEFs were selected in DMEM culture medium supplemented with 4 μg/ml puromycin for 3 days.

**Fibronectin microcontact printing**

Master micropatterns were created from custom masks as described previously (Khatau et al., 2009). PDMS (10:1 for base:curing agent, Corning) was poured over the master patterns and baked for at least 6 h at 60°C. Stamps were washed with ethanol and deionized water prior to each use. The PDMS stamps were incubated with protein solution of 50 μg/ml of fibronectin for 30 min. After removing the solution, stamps were air-dried and immediately placed onto the O₂ plasma-treated glass substrates to transfer patterns. After 1 min, the stamps were gently removed and glass substrates were washed with PBS and backfilled with 1 mg/ml polylysine-grafted polyethylene glycol (PLL-g-PEG, Susos AG, Switzerland) in a 10 nM HEPES solution for 10 min. Before plating cells, patterned substrates were soaked in medium and kept in the incubator for 30 min.

**Immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy**

Live-cell movies and immunofluorescence micrographs were collected using a Nikon A1 confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with a 60× oil-immersion objective (Nikon), a Nikon LiveScan swept-field confocal microscope with a 40× water-immersion or 100× oil-immersion objectives (Nikon), or a Cascade 1K CCD camera (Roper Scientific) mounted Nikon TE2000E epifluorescence microscope. To visualize actin filaments, vinculin-marked focal-adhesions and LIC2 cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. For staining α-tubulin and γ-tubulin, cells were fixed with methanol on the ice. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton and incubated with DAPI, Alexa Fluor phalloidin (Invitrogen), primary anti-vinculin (Sigma), anti-LIC (Abcam), anti-α-tubulin (Abcam) or anti-γ-tubulin (Abcam) antibodies for 1 h. To visualize nucleus and actin stress fibers in live cells, cells were transfected with EGFP-Histone H2B and EGFP-lifect, respectively.

**Motility and morphometric analysis**

NIS elements (Nikon) and Metamorph ( Molecular Devices) were used to analyze and process collected images. Morphometric measurement of nuclei (area and shape factor) was conducted using the image analysis software Metamorph offline ( Molecular Devices). Centroid positions of nucleus and nucleoli were obtained by tracing them in each time frame and the nuclear rotational angle was calculated by the inner product of centroid-pointing vectors of nucleus and nucleolus, as described previously (Lee et al., 2005). To assess cell motility, x and y coordinates and time intervals were obtained by tracking single cells for 8 h. Cell speed was defined as mean displacement of centroid of individual cells calculated every 2 min of time interval. Final distance was defined as the end-to-end displacement that a cell made for 8 h and persistent distance was the mean distance that a cell traveled during a persistent move, which was defined as the traveling length (≥10 μm) of a cell without making significant changes in direction of movement (>70°), as described previously (Kim and Wirtz, 2013a).

**Microarray assay**

Total RNA was prepared as described in the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) with on-column DNase I digestion. RNA quality was assessed by Nanodrop-1000 spectrometer for OD260/280 and OD260/230 ratio and Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). MouseRef-8 v2 Expression BeadChip arrays (Illumina, San Diego, CA) were used for microarray hybridizations to examine the global gene expression of the samples. The array targets 18138 annotated mouse genes with 25697 unique probes derived from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Reference Sequence (NCBI RefSeq) database (Build 36, Release 22), supplemented with probes derived from the Mouse Exonic Evidence Based Oligonucleotide (MEEBO) set, as well as exemplar protein-coding sequences described in the RIKEN FANTOM2 database. Data were extracted with Gene Expression Module in GenomeStudio Software.

**Statistics**

All statistical analyses, including mean values, standard error of mean (s.e.m.), t-test and Pearson product moment correlation coefficient (r), were conducted using Graphpad Prism (GraphPad Software). Sample size was indicated in the figure caption. For the multiple comparisons between the control and all other conditions, Dunnett’s post-hoc test was applied after one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with 95% confidence intervals (α=0.05). Error bars represent s.e.m. The significance between selected two conditions was determined by two-tailed unpaired Student’s t tests: ***P<0.001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05 and NS for P>0.05.
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